

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

VAN LOO FIDUCIARY SERVICES, an
Oregon Limited Liability Corporation, as
Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF
MICHAEL F. REINOEHL,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al,
Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-CV-05618 DGE

TAXATION OF COSTS

Costs in the above-entitled cause are hereby taxed against Plaintiff(s) VAN LOO FIDUCIARY SERVICES, as Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF MICHAEL F. REINOEHL and on behalf of Defendant(s) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, in the amount of \$25,321.67. Any items that are to be partially awarded or vacated by this court are listed below. All other requested costs are to be deemed as accepted by the Clerk:

Deponent or Fee Type	Cost Requested	Cost Disallowed	Cost Allowed
Fees for Service of Summons and Subpoena	\$387.16	\$0.00	\$387.16
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily obtained for use in the case.	\$27,600.25	<p>\$2,890.00- PDF/E-Transcript fees (14 @ \$35.00= \$490.00) are not taxable as duplicative of transcript fee and/or for convenience of counsel;</p> <p>Equipment Rental Fees (3 @ \$150.00= \$450.00) Rental fees not taxable under 18 USC 1920(2); Witness Read/Sign Services Fees (3 @ \$45.00= \$145.00) are not taxable and lack specificity or justification for cost;</p> <p>Cloud Delivery Fees (11 @ \$25.00= \$275.00) are not taxable as duplicative and/or for convenience of counsel; Logistics & Processing Fees (various invoices for total reduction of \$886.00)are not taxable and lack specificity or justification for cost;; Secure Hosting and Delivery Fees (4 @ \$86.00 = \$344.00) are not taxable as duplicative and/or for convenience of counsel; Expenses (2 @ \$150.00= \$300.00) are not taxable and lack specificity or justification for cost.</p>	\$24,710.25
Fees for Witnesses	\$224.26	\$0.00	\$224.26

Totals	Costs Requested	Costs Disallowed	Costs Allowed
	\$28,211.67	\$2,890.00	\$25,321.67

Furthermore, the Clerk of the Court has no discretion but to allow any and all properly ascribed costs to the prevailing party. Neither the economic disparity between the parties nor the perceived or alleged chilling effect on future litigation may be taken into consideration by the Clerk.

Entered this 1st day of April 2025

Ravi Subramanian, Clerk
U. S. District Court

By: s/ Patrick Sherwood
Patrick Sherwood,
Deputy in Charge